Task & Finish Group Report

Review of provision of short break and respite services for children with disabilities

Review of provision of short break and respite services for children with disabilities - review Report

Chairman's foreword

- 1.1 The health and social care overview and scrutiny undertook to do a short, spotlight review of the short break and respite service provision for children with disabilities in the county. This was intended to help determine whether it was meeting the needs of children and families, as well as to review the communications and plans for services in the light of the changes taking place at No. 1 Ledbury Road.
- 1.2 In carrying out the review, the task and finish group conducted face to face interviews and also visited No. 1 Ledbury Road. Whilst the group understands that the council is currently assessing whether there are any gaps in the service provision in respect of the available short break options, it is apparent that parents of service users are concerned as to what alternative services will be available. Effective communication is key in this regard and it is therefore imperative that information is not only shared with parents and service users in respect of the potential different models of service provision, but also that their needs and concerns are included as part of the commissioning.
- 1.3 It is hoped that this review will provide essential information to help inform the future plans for these essential services. These recommendations are hopefully self-evident and in many cases already in the process of being implemented by the executive. There may be other recommendations that could be suggested and Herefordshire Council, Wye Valley NHS Trust and Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group should look to consider these and where appropriate implement them in the coming months.
- 1.4 I would like to thank my elected member colleagues who made up the group, for their assistance and support in the completion of this task. Thanks also to the people we interviewed in undertaking this review including officers from Herefordshire Council, Wye Valley NHS Trust, Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group and Crossroads Care short breaks. I would particularly like to thank parents of service users for all of their inputs. The candour of all these contributors to our thoughts and questions has proved to be very helpful in coming to our conclusions.
- 1.5 Finally, our sincerest thanks go to both David Penrose and Steve Hodges for their support in undertaking this task and finish review.

Councillor John Stone, December 2015 Chairman of the Task and Finish Group

2 **Executive Summary**

- 2.1 The task and finish group (the 'group') has considered a significant amount of evidence and this report summarises and focuses on those matters identified in the scoping statement for the review.
- 2.2 Short breaks are part of a continuum of services which support disabled children and their families. They include the provision of day, evening, overnight and weekend activities for the child or young person, and can take place in the child's own home, the home of an approved carer, or in a residential or community setting.

3. Composition of the Group

- 3.1 Members of the group were councillors:
 - J Stone, Chairman & Vice-Chair of Health and Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee

M Lloyd-Hayes

ACR Chappell

PE Crockett

- 3.2 Lead officer, Steve Hodges
- 3.3 Democratic services officer, David Penrose

4 Context

Why did we set up the group?

- 4.1 The group was set up as a direct result of concerns raised by the parents of service users to members about short break provision and the possibility of the closure of the facility at No. 1 Ledbury Road at a meeting of the health and social care overview and scrutiny committee on 22 July 2015. It was agreed at that meeting that a task and finish group be commissioned.
- 4.2 The group was established to review the current short breaks provision and determine whether this was meeting the needs of children and families as intended as well as reviewing the communications and plans for services in the light of the changes taking place at No. 1 Ledbury Road.
- 4.3 At the meeting of Council held on 25 September 2015, it had been resolved that in view of the vision contained within the children and young people's plan that children and young people grow up healthy, happy and safe within supportive families and carers, the executive be asked to:
 - a) commit to the retention of the option for families and young people to access professionally staffed respite care in Herefordshire and beyond;
 - b) honour its obligations to actively involve parents/carers and children at all stages of any change programme; and
 - c) consider the recommendations from the task and finish group.

What were we looking at?

4.4 The health and social care overview and scrutiny committee considered and adopted a scoping statement for the group. The scoping statement is attached as appendix a.

Who did we speak to?

- 4.5 During September and October 2015, the group convened meetings and visits to gather as much background information and to seek as many views as possible. In doing this, the group spoke to the following people:
 - Parents of service users using No. 1 Ledbury Road (who are also involved in the Save No. 1 Ledbury Rd Campaign Group):
 - Parent A
 - Parents B & C
 - Parents D & E
 - Parent of a child using the buddying service:
 - Parent F
 - Clare Smeeth, Crossroads Care short breaks provider: buddying service
 - Alison Talbot-Smith (head of clinical outcomes and service transformation), Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group
 - Integrated Family Health Services, Wye Valley NHS Trust:
 - Vanessa Lewis (service unit director)
 - Dr Sally Stucke (consultant paediatrician)
 - Emma Allen (interim business manager)
 - Staff within children's wellbeing directorate, Herefordshire Council
 - Jo Davidson (director for children's wellbeing)
 - Chris Baird (assistant director, education and commissioning)
 - Paul Meredith (assistant director, safeguarding and family support)
 - Deb Owen (head of service for children with disabilities)
 - Sue Rogers (service manager for children with disabilities)
 - Philippa Granthier (head of service for commissioning)
 - Richard Watson (commissioning lead)
 - Cllr J Lester (cabinet member, young people & children's wellbeing)
 - Mr Richard Aird OBE, headteacher of Barrs Court Special School and Mrs Karen Aird, assistant head (circa 2003-13)

What did we read?

4.6 The group was provided with background information to undertake this review.

How did we engage with people?

4.7 The group conducted face to face interviews and also visited No. 1 Ledbury Road and met with staff and clients.

5. Key themes

- 5.1 The following key questions were identified:
 - What is the statutory basis for the council and other partners to provide short breaks and respite service?
 - What is the local policy position on the provision of short breaks and respite services?
 - What are the resources available for the provision of support to children with disabilities?
 - What has gone well in the provision of short breaks and respite services?
 - What could be improved?
 - What engagement activity has taken place, including in relation to the future of No.
 1 Ledbury Road, and what could be improved?
 - What assurance is there that suitable alternative provision will be available for families that currently access No. 1 Ledbury Road?
 - In the light of recent short term closures, what is the current position of No. 1 Ledbury Road?

What is the statutory basis for the council and other partners to provide short breaks and respite service?

5.2 Investment in short breaks – Aiming High for Disabled Children (AHDC)

In October 2006, parliamentary hearings on services to disabled children found evidence for the positive impact of short breaks for families with disabled children and identified a lack of access to these services as the main cause of unhappiness with service provision for families with disabled children. The government responded to these findings with the Aiming High for Disabled Children: Better Support for Families report, part of the 2007 Comprehensive Spending Review. This committed £340 million revenue funding between 2008-11 to transform Local Authority services for disabled children, with £280 million specifically allocated to expand the types of short break service available and increase accessibility to disabled children, young people and their families. This grant was intended to make provision for an additional 40,000 short breaks between 2008-11.

The short breaks statutory duty

The Children and Young Persons Act 2008 inserted a new sub-paragraph into paragraph 6(1) of Schedule 2 to the Children Act 1989, so that this paragraph now reads:

'Every local authority shall provide services designed— (a) to minimise the effect on disabled children within their area of their disabilities; (b) to give such children the opportunity to lead lives which are as normal as possible; and (c) to assist individuals who provide care for such children to continue to do so, or to do so more effectively, by giving them breaks from caring.' The duty on Local Authorities to provide breaks from caring is to provide breaks intended not only to avoid crises but to support parents to care 'more effectively'.

This dual purpose for short breaks is expanded on in the regulations made under the new duty, being the Breaks for Carers of Disabled Children Regulations 2011. The central aspects of the 2011 Regulations include:

Local authorities must not only consider the needs of parent carers who are at crisis point, but must also 'have regard to the needs of those carers who would be able to provide care for their disabled child more effectively if breaks from caring were given to them to allow them to undertake education, training or any regular leisure activity, meet

the needs of other children in the family more effectively, or carry out day to day tasks which they must perform in order to run their household' (regulation 3).

Local authorities must provide, 'a range of services which is sufficient to assist carers to continue to provide care or to do so more effectively' (regulation 4). These services must include a range of:

- day-time care
- overnight care
- educational or leisure activities for disabled children outside their homes, and
- services available to assist carers in the evenings, at weekends and during the school holidays

Local authorities are required to publish a short breaks services statement (regulation 5), which must set out details of:

- the range of services provided in accordance with regulation
- any criteria by which eligibility for those services will be assessed, and
- how the range of services is designed to meet the needs of carers in their area.

The short breaks duty requires provision of a range of short breaks which give disabled children the same opportunities to play and socialise that other children experience, while allowing their parents to provide care more effectively through having a break from caring. Although the duty under regulation 4 is to provide the range of services 'so far as is reasonably practicable', this means that a local authority is not free to reduce funding for short breaks and prioritise other services without having regard to due process.

The short breaks duty – contained in para 6(1)(c) of the Children Act 1989 and the Breaks for Carers of Disabled Children Regulations 2011

This duty requires the provision of a wide range of short breaks that is sufficient to meet local need. To comply with the duty local authorities will need to know how many disabled children live in their area, what their level of need for short breaks is likely to be and what services are available and will then need to assess whether the available services are sufficient to meet the anticipated need. Many Local Authorities have chosen to comply with the short breaks duty by providing breaks on a 'non-assessed' basis – i.e. a certain level of break is available when a minimum level of need is shown without any detailed assessment. This is good practice though not required by law; what is required is that any eligibility criteria governing access to any type of short break are published so that families can understand them. The new SEND Code of Practice (at para 4.44) requires that these criteria, which must be included within the Short Breaks Services Statement, are published alongside the 'Local Offer' which is a central plank of the reforms introduced by the Children and Families Act 2014.

Section 2 of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act ('CSDPA') 1970

The CSDPA 1970 is the legal duty which creates the individual right to short break services for some disabled children. Although the CSDPA 1970 duty is complex, its essence is that it requires local authorities to provide services (or direct payments) to meet needs where it is 'necessary' to do so. In deciding whether it is 'necessary' to meet a disabled child's needs, a local authority is entitled to take account of its resources, which means the threshold for when it is 'necessary' to provide a service may be higher when there is less funding available to the local authority. However once it is accepted that it is necessary to meet a child's needs by providing (for example) a short break service, then that service must be provided, but it is not regardless of cost. The local authority has duties to consider the efficient use of resources in all of its considerations. The issue is balancing how to meet eligible, assessed need, within the resources available.

The way in which a local authority should determine whether it is necessary to meet a disabled child's needs through the provision of short break services is by undertaking an assessment pursuant to section 17 of the Children Act 1989 and the Working Together to Safeguard Children statutory guidance. Disabled children are all children 'in need' under Children Act 1989 section 17(10)(c) and (11) and so are entitled to a social work assessment under the Working Together guidance on request.

Section 27 of the Children and Families Act 2014

This new duty, in force from September 2014, requires every local authority to consider the extent to which the social care (and educational) provision is sufficient to meet the needs of children and young people in its area. Much like the short breaks duty discussed above, this requires the local authority to know: (1) what the level of need for short breaks is in its area; and (2) whether these needs are being met through the provision of sufficient short breaks.

Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)

The provision of short breaks is a central way in which the state fulfils its obligation to respect the family and private life rights of disabled children and their family members under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights. These rights are informed by the other relevant international conventions, including the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC) and the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD). In particular, article 19(b) of the UNCRPD requires a range of community support to be provided to disabled children (and adults) and Article 23 of the UNCRC calls for 'special care' for disabled children. Most powerfully, Article 3 of the UNCRC requires the best interests of children (including disabled children) to be 'a primary consideration' in all decisions taken affecting them. This means that disabled children's interests must be considered first and can only be overridden if all other factors outweigh them.

Equality Act 2010

Firstly, Local Authorities and providers of short break services are required to make 'reasonable adjustments' to their policies, procedures and practices to promote access to short break services for all disabled children. While cost is a relevant factor in deciding whether it is 'reasonable' to make an adjustment, if it is 'reasonable' to change the way a short break service is provided then the cost of doing so cannot be passed on to the family. Secondly, the Public Sector Equality Duty under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 requires Local Authorities to have 'due regard' to a series of specified needs relating to disabled children (amongst other protected groups) when carrying out their functions. The need which is most relevant to short breaks is the need to advance equality of opportunity for disabled children. Local Authorities must take this need into account in all their decision making, including decisions about how much funding to allocate to short break services.

In addition to the above, there may be other statutory duties that are relevant to health and therefore to the Wye Valley NHS Trust (WVT) and Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)

Recommendation 1: That a communication is sent to all elected members reiterating that all councillors have a duty of care

What is the local policy position on the provision of short breaks and respite services?

- 5.3 The council has a duty to secure and provide a range of short breaks and the commissioning prospectus and activity from 2012 secured a wider range of options than was previously available. The council and its partners recognises that short breaks or respite care can be offered in a variety of ways and different settings.
- As regards fostering, the group noted that there was a recruitment drive in place to recruit specialist foster carers due to the fact that specialist skills would be required to deal with the needs of some children with disabilities for overnight respite care. It is the intention of the council to have 6 foster carers available.
- 5.5 The group is very concerned about the difficulties of not only recruiting potential foster carers, but also ensuring that they receive appropriate, continuous training. The Group is also concerned about the potential high costs of making necessary amendments and alterations to family homes, for example, wet rooms, hoists, door widening.

Recommendation 2: That specialist foster carers are made aware of the potentially refundable cost involved in adapting their properties were they to cease foster caring.

What are the resources available for the provision of support to children with disabilities?

- 5.6 The policy of the council and of the CCG is to support the most vulnerable people and is focused around partnership working with service users and providers and making the best use of resources across the diverse communities in Herefordshire. Priorities that would help deliver these aims included the improve user and carer choice and control about how their needs are met, and a collaborative commissioning process to stimulate a diverse local market and ensure services were developed and improved in response to local needs. A commissioned continuum of short breaks provision was at the heart of this, together with a pooling of resources across partner agencies to prevent overlap and support best value.
- 5.7 The council's has a budgeted commitment of £450k pa for short-breaks, care packages, overnight respite at Ledbury Road and overnight fostering which is set out below.

	£ 000
Daytime short breaks	
Playdays and 1:1 buddying contracts	240
Care packages	
Individual care packages	30
Overnight Respite	
Ledbury Road	60
Respite Fostering	
Short breaks overnight fostering	120
Total committed spend	450

The council commitment to No. 1 Ledbury road is £60k pa which is supplemented by funds from the CCG.

Recommendation 3: That appropriate outcomes and measures regarding short breaks and respite services are incorporated into Herefordshire Council's corporate plan under the priority: Keep children and young people safe and give them a great start in life.

What has gone well in the provision of short breaks and respite services?

- 5.8 In visiting No. 1 Ledbury Road, the group noted the expert level of care being provided and witnessed at first hand the high levels of interaction between clients, families and staff. The group acknowledge the complexities of the care being provided and the difficulties that this may present in a domestic or foster care setting.
- 5.9 The group found that the short breaks packages offered by Crossroads care had been well received by service users and the parents of service users. The company was a not for profit wellbeing care provider and offered a buddying service for children up to the age of 18, including those with complex needs. Clients were referred to Crossroads by GP's and social workers.
- 5.10 The group received evidence from Parent F, the parent of a service user who is accessing services provided by Crossroads care. It was explained that the buddying system had enabled their child to access facilities in the community which they would have otherwise been unable to do on their own. Examples included craft clubs, the cinema and even bowling. Parent F has two other children and as well as providing their child with a fun support session, buddying also provided family members with respite. Their only criticism of the service was that staff shift patterns meant that there was not always the continuity of care that would be preferred.

Recommendation 4: That the council looks to increase the use of buddying, also giving consideration to whether an in-house buddying care model might be more suitable than the present arrangements.

What could be improved?

- 5.11 The group found that the future of No. 1 Ledbury Road could have been better explained to the parents of service users in 2012/13. However, the social care service had not been operating effectively for some time and as a result there needed to be up to date assessments and plans for all children in the county, which was dependent on having sufficient staff of the right skills. This had been a challenge for Herefordshire for a while, but recent investment and work had strengthened the team. Assessments were now being carried out and were due to have been completed by the end of October 2015. These assessments would inform what services should be discussed with parents to meet their needs, as well as the needs of their child.
- 5.12 Concerns were raised about the anomaly of No. 1 Ledbury Road functioning as a short break facility when it was actually registered as a children's hospital and managed as an extension of the Children's Ward at Herefordshire County hospital. It was therefore registered under the CQC, not Ofsted. Mr Aird felt that its registration sent the wrong message regarding the care that was required by these children. Children did not go to No. 1 Ledbury Road because of their health needs, but in order to provide a respite break for their families. The facility should provide a social experience for these children, but struggles to do so as a children's hospital.

Recommendation 5: That consideration be given to re-designating No. 1 Ledbury Road as a short breaks facility, rather than as a children's hospital. This may afford No. 1 Ledbury Road more flexibility in that they could employ social care rather than medical staff.

Recommendation 6: That the council, the CCG and the WVT ensure that No. 1 Ledbury Road remains open and jointly funded whilst the re-designation process is undertaken.

What engagement activity has taken place, including in relation to the future of No. 1 Ledbury Road, and what could be improved?

- 5.13 The group believed that the council, the CCG and the WVT had not fulfilled their obligations to involve the parents and carers of services users from the outset of the process, and expressed concern over the nature of the consultation that had been undertaken with parents and carers regarding the proposed changes of service. The date on which the last child was referred to No. 1 Ledbury Road was in November 2013 and referrals were suspended in February 2014. Those children already accessing No. 1 Ledbury Road continued to do so with their needs being reviewed as part of ongoing social care and medical assessments, as appropriate. The group considered that the engagement process had been flawed and the way that consultation had been undertaken had been ineffective. The group is not satisfied with the explanations as to why the parents were not better informed by the council, the CCG and the WVT.
- 5.14 The group received evidence which showed that from summer 2015 onwards, much work had been undertaken by all three partner organisations to ensure that communications were much more joined up. For example, the FAQs on the council's website were being regularly updated with input from all three partners. Regular meetings had also taken place with the Save No. 1 Ledbury Rd group and with the Friends of No. 1 Ledbury Rd. Meetings had also taken place with staff at No. 1 Ledbury Road as well as with social care staff.
- 5.15 However, officers from all three partners acknowledged and agreed that it had been a systems mistake not to fully engage with all service users before any announcement had been made in July. Since then the council, the CCG and the WVT had created the opportunity to meet jointly with the parents of users, and whilst some were content to consider alternative provision, a number were supportive of the Save No. 1 Ledbury Road campaign. The WVT had been open and transparent about the reduction in service provision and had made clear the difficulties of retaining staff in a service which at present decisions have yet to be made by all parties with regard to the nature of a contract from April 2016.
- 5.16 It was noted that parent carers had been written to on a number of occasions as part of the procurement process, and that Herefordshire Carers Support and Parent Carers Voice had both been involved in this process. It was understood that most families of service users were registered with these organisations. Engagement was ongoing as of October 2015, but as the process that was underway was, by its nature slow, there had been little new to say to parents who were getting frustrated as a result.
- 5.17 The communication process prior to summer 2015 had not been effective. Parents of service users were clear that they had only found out about the proposed closure of No. 1 Ledbury Road in July, although they had been aware of ongoing discussions regarding service redesign. No mention had been made to parents of the possibility of closure.

Recommendation 7: That regular assurance is provided to the health and social care overview and scrutiny committee and Healthwatch in respect of the provision of short breaks and respite services across the county.

Recommendation 8: That the reinstatement of referrals to No. 1 Ledbury Road is implemented with immediate effect.

Recommendation 9: That monthly meetings continue to be held by the council, the CCG and the WVT in order to keep the children and parents presently accessing the service appraised of future plans for the service.

Recommendation 10: That a councillor be appointed to sit on the board of the WVT as a Non-Executive director in order to help improve communications between partner organisations.

Recommendation 11: That an action plan is agreed between all partners in respect of the implications and potential closure of No. 1 Ledbury Road.

What assurance is there that suitable alternative provision will be available for families that currently access No. 1 Ledbury Road?

- 5.18 The group had been informed by both the CCG and WVT that a service would be maintained until the end of the financial year. However, it had been made clear that the service would cease at some time thereafter. The group is concerned that it has received no details of a 'Plan B'. It was acknowledged that the timescales would present individual difficulties for families as some were dependent on the No. 1 Ledbury Road service, having received it for many years. It was suggested that families would need to take active responsibility to fully engage with new ways to receive care and be willing to accept alternative services.
- 5.19 The group learnt of concerns of parents of service users that the proposed fostering service would neither meet the needs of all children and nor would it provide the social experience of meeting others that No. 1 Ledbury Road did. It was pointed out to the group that these were very vulnerable children who were not able to speak for themselves. The group felt that No. 1 Ledbury Road offered security, as well as peace of mind for service users and parents that a foster carer would be unable to.
- 5.20 The group has been told that the council is assessing whether there are any gaps in the service provision in respect of a range of short break options that are already available, and should that be the case, further provision will be identified and consulted on to ensure it meets statutory requirements prior to contracting and commissioning new services.

Recommendation 12: That information is communicated effectively to parents and service users in respect of the potential different models of service provision.

Recommendation 13: That the needs and concerns of parents and service users are included as part of the commissioning of any new services.

Recommendation 14: That consideration is given to whether there are other suitable residential facility settings within Herefordshire that could provide respite care.

In the light of recent short term closures, what is the current position of No. 1 Ledbury Road?

- 5.21 It is hoped that new arrangements put in place will help ensure that WVT has the right staff to manage the service safely. The group were also informed that a service would be maintained until the end of the financial year.
- 5.22 WVT considered No. 1 Ledbury Road to be an expensive and outmoded model of care, but one that could be remodelled. The strength of the service lay in its staff, who had the required skills to operate as foster carers should they be prepared to do so. The foster care model could be more appropriate for some children, creating an environment alternative to a residential building and better preparing children for adult life.

Recommendation 15: That for each action, a clear timeline is agreed and produced by the council, the CCG and the WVT. The associated impacts upon current service users should also be considered. This information should also be shared with Healthwatch.

Recommendation 16: That a more entrepreneurial approach is taken at No. 1 Ledbury Road to offer a wider range of chargeable services, thus improving its financial viability.

Fostering Service

- In Herefordshire Council's published answers to FAQs regarding No. 1 Ledbury Road, it was stated that: The council is investing £190k in the family-based respite carer service, which from January 2016 is expected to deliver respite care to provide 1,200 nights a year for 16 local families. The group noted that this would mean each of the 6 respite carers would need to be able to provide care for at least 2, in some cases 3, children with complex needs. If each child maintained their current allocation for short breaks (one night in the week and one weekend a month) a respite carer providing care for 3 separate children would be providing care for 3 nights during the week in addition to 2 nights (and days) of the weekend i.e. 5 nights and 2 days a week for three weeks out of four and 3 nights for the fourth week.
- 5.24 In furthering its proposal to provide alternative respite arrangements for children who currently attend No. 1 Ledbury Road, the council's advert for short breaks carers suggests that 'anyone' can be a short breaks carer; subject to assessment for suitability, training and development. They could be single; have no upper age limit and could continue to hold down a job whilst providing care to all these children with complex needs and disabilities. The group felt that the council needed to gain a better understanding of exactly what can be involved in caring for the most vulnerable and complex children, which might provide a clearer understanding of why residential overnight respite provision such as No. 1 Ledbury Road was so important to the parents of service users.

Recommendation 17: That foster carers interested in providing short break respite care for disabled children receive their training in No. 1 Ledbury Road, in order to fully appreciate the demands and responsibilities they would be taking on.

6 <u>Summary of Recommendations</u>

Recommendation	Details
1	That a communication is sent to all elected members reiterating that all
	councillors have a duty of care.
2	That specialist foster carers are made aware of the potentially
	refundable cost involved in adapting their properties were they to cease
	foster caring.
3	That appropriate outcomes and measures regarding short breaks and
	respite services are incorporated into Herefordshire Council's Corporate
	Plan under the priority: Keep children and young people safe and give
	them a great start in life.
4	That the council looks to increase the use of buddying, also giving
	consideration to whether an in-house buddying care model might be
	more suitable than the present arrangements.
5	That consideration be given to redesignating No. 1 Ledbury Road as a
	short breaks facility, rather than as a Children's Hospital. This may
	afford No. 1 Ledbury Road more flexibility in that they could employ
	social care rather than medical staff.
6	That the council, the CCG and the WVT ensure that No. 1 Ledbury
	Road remains open and jointly funded whilst the redesignation process
	is undertaken.
7	That regular assurance is provided to the Health and Social Care
	Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Healthwatch in respect of the
	provision of short breaks and respite services across the county.
8	That the reinstatement of referrals to No. 1 Ledbury Road is
	implemented with immediate effect.
9	That monthly meetings continue to be held by the council, the CCG and
	the WVT in order to keep the children and parents presently accessing
- 10	the service appraised of future plans for the service.
10	That a Councillor be appointed to sit on the Board of the WVT as a
	Non-Executive Director in order to help improve communications
4.4	between partner organisations.
11	That an action plan is agreed between all partners in respect of the
12	implications and potential closure of No. 1 Ledbury Road.
12	That information is communicated effectively to parents and service users in respect of the potential different models of service provision.
13	
13	That the needs and concerns of parents and service users are included as part of the commissioning of any new services.
14	That consideration is given to whether there are other suitable
'-	residential facility settings within Herefordshire that could provide
	respite care.
15	That for each action, a clear timeline is agreed and produced by the
	council, the CCG and the WVT. The associated impacts upon current
	service users should also be considered. This information should also
	be shared with Healthwatch.
16	That a more entrepreneurial approach is taken at No. 1 Ledbury Road
	to offer a wider range of chargeable services, thus improving its
	financial viability.
17	That foster carers interested in providing short break respite care for
	disabled children receive their training in No. 1 Ledbury Road, in order
	to fully appreciate the demands and responsibilities they would be
	taking on.
	1 9

Appendix A

Health and Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Task and Finish Group – Review of provision of short break and respite services for children with disabilities

Title of review	Review of provision of short break and respite services for children with disabilities	
Scope		
Reason for enquiry	Following concerns raised to members about short break provision the enquiry is established: To review the current short breaks provision and determine whether it is meeting the needs of children and families as intended. To review the communications and plans for services in the light of the changes taking place at No. 1 Ledbury Road.	
Links to the corporate plan	The review contributes to the following objective's contained in the Herefordshire corporate plan and other key plans and strategies:	
	The services covered by this review directly contribute to the council's corporate priorities with particular relevance to those underlined below:	
	Our vision	
	Herefordshire - a place where people, organisations and businesses work together within an outstanding natural environment, bringing about sustainable prosperity and wellbeing for all.	
	Our priorities are to: • Keep children and young people safe and give them a great start in life • Enable residents to live safe, healthy and independent lives • Invest in projects to improve roads, create jobs and build more homes	
	 and to achieve our priorities we need to: Encourage individuals, communities and organisations to do more for themselves and for their local area Radically reduce the costs, breadth and level of services we provide Ensure the services that we do provide are cost effective 	
	 Specifically, the corporate plan objectives pertinent to this review are: People are physically and mentally healthy and stay healthy for longer Outcomes for children and young people improve There is increased equality of opportunity and access, to reduce inequality in health & wellbeing outcomes People are able to take more responsibility for themselves (includes making healthy choices & focus on prevention) People are active in their communities and look out for the more vulnerable so they can live independently Public services are prioritised to support those in need of services to maintain their independence or stay safe In addition the new Children and Young People's Plan 2015-2018 includes a specific priority to improve outcomes for children with a disability and this will be achieved through the children with disabilities transformation programme. 	

Summary of the review	Summary:	
and terms of reference	This review is to consider the:	
	 current provision of short break and respite care in the county. 	
	proposed closure of No. 1 Ledbury Road	
	issues surrounding the consultation process that had been undertaken	
	with parents of service users and other stakeholders to inform the	
	provision of services.	
	Terms of Reference:	
	 This Task and Finish Group is made up of councillors from the Health and Social Care Overview and Scrutiny Committee. 	
	It will focus on the provision of short breaks and respite for children	
	with disabilities at No. 1 Ledbury Road.	
	The Group will consider the specific questions detailed below and	
	hear evidence from witnesses.	
	The findings and recommendations of the Group will be written in a	
	report to be presented back to the main Committee	
	The council, Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group and Wye Allow N. I.G. Truet will consider the group and this positions at the imposition.	
	Valley NHS Trust will consider the recommendations at their review meeting in December 2015	
What will NOT be	Individual cases or details of children currently using 1 Ledbury Road	
included	services	
Potential outcomes	Greater understanding of the decision taken by different parties to	
	establish the range of short break and respite services available.	
	Recommendations to improve the engagement and communication	
	with parents and children and young people	
	Reassurance that the needs of children and their families have been fully considered.	
Key Questions	fully considered To consider:	
Ney Questions	What are the resources available for the provision of support to	
	children with disabilities?	
	What has gone well in the provision of short breaks and respite	
	services?	
	What could be improved?	
	What engagement activity has taken place, including in relation to the	
	future of 1 Ledbury Road, and what could be improved?	
	 What assurance is there that suitable alternative provision will be available for families that currently access 1 Ledbury Road? 	
Cabinet Member	Cllr J Lester (Children's and Young Peoples Wellbeing)	
Key stakeholders /	Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group	
Consultees	Wye Valley NHS Trust	
	Herefordshire Council	
	Parents of children who access short break and respite services	
	Children and young people who access short break and respite services Councillors	
	Providers of short break and respite services including schools, particularly	
	special schools	
Potential witnesses	Parents of service users	
	Parent A, a parent of a child currently using Ledbury Road and also a	
	parent representative	
	Parents using a range of short breaks and respite services	
	Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group	
	Dr Alison Talbot Smith	
	Providers of services:	
	Wye Valley NHS Trust Figure Allen (Divinese Manager, Integrated Family Health Service)	
	Emma Allen (Business Manager, Integrated Family Health Service)	

	Other provider services. Crossroads Buddying Service Herefordshire Council Jo Davidson, Director of Children's Wellbeing Chris Baird, Assistant Director Education and Commissioning, Children's Wellbeing Directorate (CWB) Paul Meredith, Assistant Director, Safeguarding and Family Support, CWB Deb Owen, Head of Service for Children with Disabilities, CWB Sue Rogers, Service Manager for Children with Disabilities, CWB Philippa Granthier, Head of Service for Commissioning, CWB Richard Watson, Commissioning Lead, CWB
Research Required	Historical context of services for children with disabilities and short breaks and respite care Commissioning activity documentation Decision reports by the council, WVT and CCG Implementation plans Equality impact assessments and reviews Engagement and consultation evidence, including requirements for statutory consultation on any change to a service Financial information
Potential Visits	Visit to 1 Ledbury Road – WVT to provide suitable dates. Visit to another short breaks provider – details TBC
Meetings	Meetings to be held in private
Publicity Requirements	Publication of Review and its Recommendations

Outline Timetable (following decision by the Committee to commission the Review)	
Activity	Timescale
Confirm approach, Terms of Reference, programme of consultation/research/provisional witnesses/meeting dates	14 August 2015
Collect current data available for circulation to Group for first meeting of the Group	3 September 2015
First Task and Finish Group meeting	10 Sept 2015
Site Visits	17 Sept 2015
Additional meetings	Late September
Present final report to Overview & Scrutiny Committee	17 November 2015
Present options/recommendation to Cabinet Member (to inform the December review with CCG /WVT)	3 December 2015
Cabinet Member response/decision	January 2016
Monitoring of implementation of agreed recommendations:	
Report to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	21 March 2016
Further report to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Summer 2016

Group Members	
Chair	Cllr J Stone
Support Members	Cllr M Lloyd-Hayes
	Cllr ACR Chappell
	Cllr PE Crockett
Support Officers	Steve Hodges
	David Penrose